

ICF Consulting / Laboratory Data Consultants

Environmental Services Assistance Team, Region 9 1337 South 46th Street, Building 201, Richmond, CA 94804-4698 Phone: (510) 412-2300 Fax: (510) 412-2304

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Chris Lichens, Remedial Project Manager

Site Cleanup Section 4, SFD-7-4

THROUGH:

Rose Fong, ESAT Task Order Manager (TOM)

Quality Assurance (QA) Program, MTS-3

FROM:

Doug Lindelof, Data Review Task Manager

Region 9 Environmental Services Assistance Team (ESAT)

ESAT Contract No.: EP-W-06-041

Technical Direction Form No.: 00105001

DATE:

July 5, 2006

SUBJECT:

Review of Analytical Data, Tier 3

Attached are comments resulting from ESAT Region 9 review of the following analytical data:

Site

Omega Chem OU2

Site Account No.:

09 BC LA02 CAD042245001

CERCLIS ID No.: Case No.:

33335

SDG No.:

MY1FS0

Laboratory:

Ceimic Corporation (CEIMIC)

Analysis:

CLP Dissolved Metals By ICP-AES and Total Cyanide

Samples:

19 Groundwater Samples (see Case Summary)

Collection Date:

September 13, 14, 15, and 16, 2004

Reviewer:

Stan Kott, ESAT/Laboratory Data Consultants

This report has been reviewed by the EPA TOM for the ESAT contract, whose signature appears above.

If there are any questions, please contact Rose Fong (QA Program/EPA) at (415) 972-3812.

Attachment

cc: Jennie Han-Liu, CLP PO USEPA Region 1 Steve Remaley, CLP PO USEPA Region 9

CLP PO: [X] FYI [] Action

SAMPLING ISSUES: [X] Yes [] No

00105001-6743/33335/ MY1FS0RPT.doc

Data Validation Report

Case No.: 33335 SDG No.: MY1FS0

Site: Omega Chem OU2

Laboratory: Ceimic Corporation (CEIMIC)

Reviewer: Stan Kott, ESAT/LDC

Date: July 5, 2006

I. CASE SUMMARY

Sample Information

Samples: MY1FS0 through MY1FS9, and MY1FT1 through

MY1FT9

Concentration and Matrix: Low Concentration Groundwater

Analysis: Select CLP Dissolved Metals By ICP-AES and Total

Cyanide

SOW: ILM05.3 and Modification Reference Number

AES060304.0

Collection Date: September 13, 14, 15, and 16, 2004 Sample Receipt Date: September 15, 16, and 17, 2004 Preparation Date: September 22 and 24, 2004

Analysis Date: September 22, 27, and 28, 2004

Field QC

Field Blanks (FB): Not Provided Equipment Blanks (EB): Not Provided Background Samples (BG): Not Provided

> Field Duplicates (D1): MY1FS1 and MY1FS2 Field Duplicates (D2): MY1FT5 and MY1FT6

Laboratory QC

Method Blanks & Associated Samples: Preparation Blank-Water (PBW) and samples

listed above

Matrix Spike: MY1FT1S
Duplicates: MY1FT1D

ICP Serial Dilution: MY1FT1L

Analysis: Select CLP Dissolved Metals By ICP-AES and Total

Cyanide

Sample Preparation

Analyte and Digestion Date
ICP-AES Metals September 24, 2004

Cyanide September 22, 2004

Not Applicable

Analysis Date

September 27 and 28, 2004 September 22, 2004

Not Applicable

CLP PO Action

None.

Percent Solids

Sampling Issues

The Traffic Report/Chain of Custody (TR/COC) record forms did not specify a sample to be used for laboratory quality control (QC). The laboratory selected sample MY1FT1 for QC analysis. No adverse effect on data quality is expected.

Additional Comments

Note that Ceimic Corporation laboratory is no longer in operation.

The samples in this SDG were analyzed for select CLP metals (aluminum, calcium, iron, magnesium, potassium, and sodium) plus boron and silicon by ICP-AES under Modified Analysis Request (MAR), Modification Reference Number AES060304.0.

Samples of this SDG were analyzed at a 3, 4, or 5-fold dilution due to silicon concentrations that exceeded the instrument's linear range. No adverse effect on data quality is expected.

All method requirements specified in the EPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) Inorganic Statement of Work (SOW), except as noted, have been met.

Analytical results are listed in Table 1A with qualifications. Definitions of data qualifiers used in Table 1A are listed in Table 1B.

This report was prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- Region 9 Standard Operating Procedure 906, Guidelines for Data Review of Contract Laboratory Program Analytical Services (CLPAS) Inorganic Data Packages;
- Request for Quote for Modified Analysis (SOW flexibility clause), Tracking Number: 1103.0, Modification Reference Number: AES060304.0, June 9, 2004;
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work For Inorganic Analysis Multi-Media, Multi-Concentration ILM05.3, March 2004; and
- USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review, October 2004.

II. VALIDATION SUMMARY

The data were evaluated based on the following parameters:

<u>Parameter</u>	<u>Acceptable</u>	<u>Comment</u>
Data Completeness	Yes	
Sample Preservation and Holding Times	Yes	
Calibration	Yes	
a. Initial		
b. Initial and Continuing Calibration Verifica	tion	
c. CRQL Check Standard (CRI)		•
Blanks	Yes	
ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS)	Yes	
Laboratory Control Sample (LCS)	Yes	,
Duplicate Sample Analysis	Yes	
Matrix Spike Sample Analysis	Yes	•
ICP Serial Dilution Analysis	Yes	
ICP-MS Internal Standards	N/A	
Field Duplicate Sample Analysis	No	\mathbf{B}
Sample Quantitation	Yes	Α .
Overall Assessment	Yes	•
	Data Completeness Sample Preservation and Holding Times Calibration a. Initial b. Initial and Continuing Calibration Verifica c. CRQL Check Standard (CRI) Blanks ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Duplicate Sample Analysis Matrix Spike Sample Analysis ICP Serial Dilution Analysis ICP-MS Internal Standards Field Duplicate Sample Analysis Sample Quantitation	Data Completeness Yes Sample Preservation and Holding Times Yes Calibration Yes a. Initial b. Initial and Continuing Calibration Verification c. CRQL Check Standard (CRI) Blanks Yes ICP Interference Check Sample (ICS) Yes Laboratory Control Sample (LCS) Yes Duplicate Sample Analysis Yes Matrix Spike Sample Analysis Yes ICP Serial Dilution Analysis Yes ICP-MS Internal Standards N/A Field Duplicate Sample Analysis No Sample Quantitation Yes

N/A = Not Applicable

III. VALIDITY AND COMMENTS

A. Results above the method detection limit (MDL) but below the contract required quantitation limit (CRQL) (denoted with an "L" qualifier) are estimated and flagged "J" in Table 1A.

Results above the MDL but below the CRQL are considered qualitatively acceptable but quantitatively unreliable due to uncertainties in the analytical precision near the limit of quantitation.

B. A relative percent difference (RPD) of 29 was obtained for silicon in the analysis of field duplicate pair samples MY1FT5 and MY1FT6. Since sampling variability is included in the measurement, field duplicate results are expected to vary more than laboratory duplicates which have a ±20 RPD criterion for precision. The effect on data quality is not known.

The analysis of field duplicate samples is a measure of both field and analytical precision. The imprecision in the results of the analysis of the field duplicate pair may be due to the sample matrix, high levels of solids in the sample, or poor sampling or laboratory technique.

Page 1 of 1 Case No.: 33335 SDG No.: MY1FS0 Table 1A

Site: OMEGA CHEM OU2

Lab: CEIMIC CORPORATION (CEIMIC)

Reviewer: Stan Kott, ESAT/LDC Date: July 5, 2006

QUALIFIED DATA Concentration In ug/L Analysis Type: Low Concentration Groundwater Samples For

Select Dissolved Metals and Total Cyanide

'				OC2-MW4B MY1FS1 9/13/2004	-W-0-59 D1		·MY1FS2 D1			OC2-MW4C-W-0-61 MY1FS3 9/13/2004			OC2-MW1B- MY1FS4 9/14/2004	W-0-62		OC2-MW1A-W-0-63 MY1FS5 9/14/2004			OC2-MW2A-W-0-64 MY1FS6 9/14/2004		
PARAMETER	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com
ALUMINUM BORON CALCIUM IRON MAGNESIUM	200U 378 175000 100U 45100			200U 355 216000 100U 56700		; ·	200U 378 221000 100U 58200	**		200U 294 149000 100U 41200			200U 309 123000 50.7L 39300		_ A ′.	155000			200U 361 175000 100U 50800		
POTASSIUM SILICON SODIUM	2920L 16100 95700		_A_	4210L 16800 93900	J	A	+ 4240L 16600 96600	j.	<u>A</u>	3960L 14600 80400	J	A	4670L 10300 68300	<u>J</u>	_ A _	2790L 15800 84100		A	2910L 14100 95500	J	_A_
CYANIDE	10.00			10.0U			10.0U	~		10.0U	i - ,		10.0U			10.0U			10 0U		

Station Location : Sample ID : Collection Date :				OC2-MW5A-W-0-66 MY1FS8 9/14/2004			OC2-MW9B-W-0-67 MY1FS9 9/15/2004			OC2-MW8B-W-5-69 MY1FT1 9/15/2004			OC2-MW8C-W-0-70 MY1FT2 9/15/2004			OC2-MW8A-W-0-71 MY1FT3 9/15/2004			OC2-MW8D-W-0-72 MY1FT4 9/15/2004		
PARAMETER	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com
ALUMINUM	200U			200U			200U			200U			200U			200U			200∪		
BORON	384			354			349		1.2.3	355	7	1 3	369		٠.	445			103L	• J	Α.
CALCIUM	193000			199000			217000			216000			216000			222000			136000		I
IRON	100U			34.5L	<u>.</u> jj .	A	45.6L		Ā	100U			93.6L	J	A	100U	F.E.		≣≣ 100U		
MAGNESIUM	47000	ŀ		54500			51000			56400			54300			53600			36600		l I
POTASSIUM	4030L	J	A	3280L	J	Α	5130			4650L	J	A	4450L	J	Α.	4760L	J	A	3850L	J	A :
SILICON	13600			12100			11600			14400			13900			14100			10500		1 1
SODIUM	130000			89700			109000			106000			113000			126000			57600		
CYANIDE	10.0U			10.0U			10.0U		, -	10.0U			10.0U			· 10.0U			10.0U		

Sample ID :	ID: MY1FT5 D2			OC2-MW7A-W-1-74 MY1FT6 D2 9/16/2004			MY1FT7			MY1FT8			OC2-MW11/ MY1FT9 9/16/2004	\-W-0-7	7	MDL			CRQL		
PARAMETER	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com	Result	Val	Com
ALUMINUM	200U			200U			200U			200U			200U			44.2		THE PARTY.	200		
BORON	567		1212.	592			520			353			522	Par		52.5			200		
CALCIUM	234000			238000	mm on grad		133000			161000			253000	مودر وساستهام	l	77.4	***		5000	.,	
RON	100U	1, 5, 2	1 7	100U			100U			.100∪	5		100U	277.67 4.444		32.2			100		3
MAGNESIUM	65800			66900		. e	41600		l	40900			67800	وديومدي د		28.2			5000		
POTASSIUM	4010L	5.3	Α.	4170L	J	Α	3180L	J	Α -	4100L	ل	Α	5420	Comments		54.8			5000		
SILICON	11700		В	15600		В	16900		ł	12000		1	14100	*******		67.3			200		1 1
SODIUM	167000			168000			166000			123000			160000			60.9			5000		~
CYANIDE .	10.0U			10.0U			10.0U			10.0U			10.0U			0.83			10.0		

Val - Validity. Refer to Data Qualifiers in Table 1B.

Com - Comments. Refer to the Corresponding Section in the Narrative for each letter.

MDL - Method Detection Limit, N/A - Not Applicable, NA - Not Analyzed

D1, D2, etc. - Field Duplicate Pairs

FB - Field Blank, EB - Equipment Blank, TB - Trip Blank, BG - Background Sample

CRQL - Contract Required Quantitation Limit

TABLE 1B

DATA QUALIFIER DEFINITIONS FOR INORGANIC DATA REVIEW

The definitions of the following qualifiers are prepared in accordance with the document *USEPA* Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines for Inorganic Data Review; October 2004.

- U The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected above the level of the reported sample quantitation limit.
- J The result is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.
- J+ The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased high.
- J- The result is an estimated quantity, but the result may be biased low.
- R The data are unusable. The sample results are rejected due to serious deficiencies in meeting Quality Control (QC) criteria. The analyte may or may not be present in the sample.
- UJ The analyte was analyzed for, but was not detected. The reported quantitation limit is approximate and may be inaccurate or imprecise.